



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/646,261

08/21/2003

Frank Liebenow

P1947US00

9525

24333 7590 03/05/2009

GATEWAY, INC.

ATTN: Patent Attorney

610 GATEWAY DRIVE

MAIL DROP Y-04

N. SIOUX CITY, SD 57049

EXAMINER

PRABHAKHER, PRITHAM DAVID

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2622

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/05/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Art Unit: 2622

In the examiner's opinion Tanaka (JP 2002-152570A1) and Iwamura (US Pub No.: 20050018766A1) in view of Prabhu et al. (US Patent No.: 6903762B2) still disclose providing a toggle control to selectively display a novice parameter setting menu or an advanced parameter setting menu, said advanced parameter setting menu including a wider selection of parameters than said novice parameter setting menu, a user being able to select parameters for a menu according to his experience level

The applicant points to Column 10, Lines 40-42 of Prabhu et al. as being a reason as to not allowing a user to select features from a preset set of features for his experience level.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with this assertion. The applicant has referred to S174 to S176 as being reasons for why Prabhu et al. do not teach the limitations of independent claims 1, 14 and 25. The examiner would like to point to the preceding steps leading up to S174 and point that it is the user that selects a set of features from a preset set of features for his experience level (Figure 6 of Prabhu et al.). Again, as cited in the previous office action (mailed on 11/24/08), Prabhu et al. disclose that the camera customization software can then create a customized GUI according to a particular user where a novice user is provided with a simple set of features by hiding the features that are more advanced, (Column 11, Lines 10-16 of Prabhu et al.).

As per the reasons given above, the finality of the rejection will be maintained.

/David L. Ometz/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622